#### FINAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

### Institutional Quality Assurance Program (IQAP) Review

## **Electrical and Computer Engineering Graduate Programs**

Date of Review: April 14th -16th

In accordance with the University Institutional Quality Assurance Process (IQAP), this final assessment report provides a synthesis of the external evaluation and the internal response and assessments of the graduate programs delivered by Electrical and Computer Engineering. This report identifies the significant strengths of the program, together with opportunities for program improvement and enhancement, and it sets out and prioritizes the recommendations that have been selected for implementation.

The report includes an Implementation Plan that identifies who will be responsible for approving the recommendations set out in the Final Assessment Report; who will be responsible for providing any resources entailed by those recommendations; any changes in organization, policy or governance that will be necessary to meet the recommendations and who will be responsible for acting on those recommendations; and timelines for acting on and monitoring the implementation of those recommendations.

## **Executive Summary of the Review**

In accordance with the Institutional Quality Assurance Process (IQAP), the Electrical and Computer Engineering program submitted a self-study in April 2021 to the Vice-Provost and Dean of Graduates Studies to initiate the cyclical program review of its graduate programs. The approved self-study presented program descriptions, learning outcomes, and analyses of data provided by the Office of Institutional Research and Analysis. Appendices to the self-study contained all course outlines associated with the program and the CVs for each full-time member in the department.

Two arm's length external reviewers and one internal reviewer were endorsed by the Dean, Faculty of Engineering, and selected by the Vice-Provost and Dean of Graduate Studies. The review team reviewed the self-study documentation and then conducted a remote review on April  $14^{th} - 16^{th}$ , 2021. The review included interviews with the Provost and Vice-President (Academic); Vice-Provost and Dean of Graduate Studies, Associate Dean, Graduate Studies and Research, Chair of the department and meetings with groups of current students, full-time faculty and support staff.

The Chair of the Department and the Dean of the Faculty of Engineering submitted responses to the Reviewers' Report (May 2021). Specific recommendations were discussed and clarifications and corrections were presented. Follow-up actions and timelines were included.

#### Strengths

 The Student Experience: Graduate students express a high level of satisfaction with respect to their programs. The percentage of international ECE graduate students is one of the highest among all graduate programs offered at McMaster. Equity, diversity, and

- inclusion seem to be important priorities for the ECE department, which is of clear benefit to international graduate students.
- Community Engagement: The cooperative education program provides experiential learning opportunities for graduate students as part of their degree. This is an essential complement to their academic and research training, and provides them with critical skills to succeed in industrial careers.
- Research: The ECE Department offers an outstanding research training environment and many opportunities for collaborative projects.

### Areas for Enhancement or Improvement

- Program Governance: It would be beneficial to enhance departmental processes to review and evolve graduate course offerings.
- Communication: There seemed to be a lack of common understanding among faculty about certain aspects of the graduate program (e.g. faculty mentorship, grading practises). Enhancing the level of discussions relating to the graduate program would be beneficial.
- Student Interactions: Enhancing the degree to which graduate students have the
  opportunity to interact with each other, outside of their immediate lab groups, would be
  beneficial.

# Summary of the Reviewers' Recommendations with the Department's and Dean's Responses

Recommendation	Proposed Follow-Up	Responsibility for Leading Follow-Up	Timeline for Addressing Recommendation
Recommendations highl	ighted in review report's Executive	Summary	Recommendation
Consider whether there would be a benefit in normalizing the minimum grade admission requirement for all programs to B+.	We agree that the admission requirement for the MEng in Electrical & Biomedical Engineering (currently a B minimum) could be adjusted to match the current minimum for the other programs (B+). We will put this change through the official approval process in the coming academic year, so it will come into effect in Sept 2022, if approved.	Assoc Chair	Sept 2022

Monitor the impact of the tuition differential between international PhD and international MASc students.	We agree that it will be important to track the impact of the tuition differential on our MASc enrollment and to make sure that we can maintain a high-quality Masters program. Current enrollment patterns are being impacted greatly by COVID restrictions across the world, so we will need to monitor the effects of the tuition differential over the next few years as things hopefully	Chair, Assoc Chair, Administrator	Initial review before 18-month progress report; Analyze again in May 2024
Communicate to faculty the departmental process to review and coordinate yearly graduate course offerings.	normalize.  We agree with the reviewers that our graduate programs could benefit from greater coordination amongst instructors. Given the breadth of subdisciplines within ECE, we have been working on forming Graduate Teaching Clusters to facilitate such discussions, as described in the IQAP self-study document. This model has worked very well for the ongoing assessment and continuous improvement of our undergraduate programs, and the department as a whole has agreed to implement teaching clusters also at the graduate level. Cluster chairs were assigned for the 2020-21 academic year, but in light of the continuation of the pandemic, the deadline for their initial meetings was extended until the summer of 2021.	Chair, Assoc Chair, Graduate Teaching Cluster leaders	Dec 2021

	0 11 12 1 1		14.11 1 2000
Enhance the	Overall discussions about	Chair, Assoc Chair,	Winter 2022
curriculum so that	curriculum improvements will	Graduate Teaching	
there is a better	best take place in our Graduate	Cluster leaders	
balance between	Teaching Clusters, so that they		
theoretical and	can be customized to the		
applied content,	difference subdisciplines of ECE		
between physics-	within our department.		
based modelling and	In regards to machine learning		
_	courses, Dr. Sorina Dumitrescu		
data-driven	introduced a new 4 <sup>th</sup> -year		
paradigms. In	undergraduate elective in		
particular, there is a	Machine Learning this past year,		
clear need for more	and she is now developing a		
machine learning	graduate-level course that will		
courses taught from	be offered first as a Special		
an application-centric	Topics course in Winter 2022.		
viewpoint.	We anticipate the development		
,	of further courses on data-		
	driven approaches as we		
	continue to hire faculty in the		
	computer engineering area.		
Consider	The distribution of enrollments	Chair, Assoc Chair	Fall 2022
implementing a	in our courses has been a topic		
minimum number of	of discussion at department		
students necessary to	gatherings over the last year,		
hold a graduate class.	with a number of well-thought		
	out mechanisms being		
	proposed. However, the		
	finalization of a policy was put		
	on hold during the pandemic.		
	We will discuss this at our		
	department retreat this		
	summer, with a proposal to be		
	developed in the next academic		
	year based on discussion at		
	department meetings.		5 II 2022
Provide more	We will look at developing a	Chair, Assoc Chair	Fall 2022
opportunities and	series of meetings each		
reasons for MEng	academic year for MEng		
students to engage	students, to promote cohesion		
with course	among this cohort. We will also		
instructors and peers.	work on integrating MEng		
,	students more fully into existing		
	social events, department		
	seminars, etc.		

e. altra a d	Ma agree that are ECC arred	Chain Assas Chair	Winton 2022
Facilitate the creation	We agree that an ECE grad	Chair, Assoc Chair,	Winter 2022
of a graduate student	student club could greatly	Administrator	
social club.	benefit our students,		
	particularly as they look to re-		
	engage with each other after		
	pandemic restrictions are lifted.		
	We will look at developing an		
	election process and budget		
	that can encourage formation of		
	this club, while maintaining		
	some oversight by the		
	department, to ensure that it		
	works to meet the needs of all		
	our graduate students.		
	We do not want the activities of		
	this department-level club to		
	conflict with the existing faculty-		
	level Engineering Graduate		
	Society (EGS), so we will make sure that it is created in		
	consultation with the EGS		
	leadership.		
France that FCF	The Chair will continue our	Chain	Dag 2021
Ensure that ECE		Chair	Dec 2021
faculty members	practice of annual (or more often) one-on-one meetings		
have a clear	with faculty members on tenure		
understanding of	track and the early stages of a		
departmental policies	tenured career. These meetings		
and best practises	offer the opportunity to review		
relating to junior	the faculty member's research		
faculty mentorship.	and teaching portfolios and to		
	provide constructive,		
	personalized advice on the		
	balance of each. The Chair will		
	also inform the department at		
	large over this process to ensure		
	that there is a clear		
	understanding of the		
	expectations amongst all junior		
	faculty and their mentors.		
Additional recommenda	tions in specific review report secti	ons	<u>I</u>

Provide enhanced course outlines that will allow students to get a better understanding about the content and learning outcomes of the courses.	We have recognized that there was a fair degree of inconsistency in the level of detail provided in graduate course outlines, so in Summer 2020 we started having the grad course instructors move to a more detailed, and standardized, course outline template. The template is based on that of our undergrad course outlines. With the help of the department admin staff, we have almost completed the updating of all grad course outlines to match the new template and will make these available to the students for the coming academic year.	Assoc Chair, Administrator, Grad Admin	July 2021
Provide alternatives to 3MT presentations in ECE 790.	Overall, we have found the 3MT format for ECE 790 to be very positive and have been very impressed with the communication skills and confidence gained by our graduate students. However, we recognize that some	Chair, Assoc Chair, MacPherson Institute	Winter 2022

	hesitancy about this format by a small number of students was raised in our anonymous student survey and in the meetings with the IQAP reviewers. It is not clear whether this hesitancy is being expressed by students who have completed ECE 790 and did not find it to be a completely positive experience, or if students who have not yet taken ECE 790 are anxious about the experience.  Therefore, we propose to carry out a structured review out this course, led by the MacPherson Institute, incorporating surveys of students before, during and after taking ECE 790, as well as focus-group discussions with a subset of students in the course. Initial planning meetings for this structured review have already taken place.  We will also conduct an anonymous survey of the faculty in ECE to gain a better understanding of the supervisors' views on how ECE 790 is functioning to help their students improve their communication skills and confidence.		
Consider offering more project-based graduate courses.	We recognize that there is a broad spectrum of research styles among research groups within the program, and that project-based courses may be appropriate ways for some students to prepare for their research programs. We will ask the cluster leaders to make this suggestion one of the top topics for discussion at the Graduate Cluster meetings this year. The availability of "Special Topics" courses would enable a pilot	Chair, Assoc Chair, Graduate Teaching Cluster leaders	Winter 2023

	aturdusta ha assistuatad	<u> </u>	1
	study to be conducted		
	reasonably quickly if a cluster		
	wishes to do so.		
Consider encouraging	We recognize that we have only	Chair, Assoc Chair,	Fall 2022
teamwork in	a few graduate courses so far	Graduate Teaching	
graduate courses (via	that incorporate a large	Cluster leaders	
projects).	component of group work, and		
	we agree that this is something		
	that could be explored further.		
	We will have initial discussion at		
	graduate teaching cluster		
	meetings and then follow up		
	with a workshop by the		
	MacPherson institute on best		
	practices for forming groups,		
	encouraging healthy group		
	dynamics, and assessing		
	individual contributions to		
	group projects. The availability		
	of "Special Topics" courses		
	would enable a pilot study to be		
	conducted reasonably quickly if		
	a cluster wishes to do so. If a		
	pilot does go ahead, we will		
	ensure that the instructor		
	receives the appropriate		
	training on the formation and		
	management of groups to		
	ensure that our process is		
	consistent with the Faculty's		
	and University's goals of equity,		
	diversity and inclusion.		
Consider offering	We have had some discussions	Chair, Assoc Chair	Fall 2021
graduate courses	previously about the possibility	·	
focused on recent	of developing an Independent		
	Study graduate course in ECE		
academic papers,	but no consensus was reached.		
that would reflect the	We will make this a major		
state of the art in	discussion point for our		
their field.	department retreat this		
	Summer, and then the Graduate		
	Affairs Committee will develop a		
	·		
	proposal to bring to a		
	department meeting in the		
	coming academic year.	0	0 0000
Consider integrating	We will ask the cluster leaders	Chair, Assoc Chair,	Summer 2022
student feedback into	to incorporate into every	Graduate Teaching	
	meeting of their Graduate	Cluster leaders	

- 1 · · ·	Tanahina Chustana dia cata f		<u> </u>
a loop for course	Teaching Cluster a discussion of		
improvement	how instructors are working to		
	incorporate feedback from		
	students into course		
	improvements. We will also		
	suggest that instructors		
	consider making use of the		
	MacPherson Institute's mid-		
	course review process, rather		
	than relying solely on the end-		
	of-term course evaluations.		
	The Chair and Assoc Chair will		
	also organize an annual		
	stakeholders' meeting with		
	graduating students from our		
	graduate programs, to create		
	another pathway for feedback		
	on our programs and courses. Such stakeholder meetings for		
	_		
	our undergraduate programs		
	have provided insightful		
	feedback.		
Consider a succession	Our Accounting & Academic	Chair,	Fall 2021
plan for the Graduate	Administrative Assistant, Ms.	Administrator,	
Administrative	Tracey Coop, has been assisting		
Assistant Cheryl Gies.	Ms. Gies over the past few years		
While her dedication	in managing external graduate		
and enthusiasm are	scholarship nominations in the		
impressive, it might	department, in overseeing		
, ,	facility access for our graduate		
be hard to find a new	students, and in moving our		
graduate assistant	graduate course outlines to a		
with the willingness	new template. In that way, she		
to take on her heavy	will be well situated to be able		
workload when	to take on more graduate		
Cheryl retires. It	program administration tasks if		
might be a good idea	required at some point in time.		
	Of course, we will hold an open		
to plan for hiring one	application process for Cheryl's		
Graduate	position when she retires. We		
Administrative	will also discuss the overall		
Assistant for MASc	staffing needs for our graduate		
and PhD Programs,	programs with the Dean's		
and a second one for	office.		
the MEng Program.	onice.		
The Department			
	Our department found it	Chair, Assoc Chair,	Winter 2022
could provide more	Our department found it difficult to maintain and update	Chair, Assoc Chair, IT staff	Winter 2022

centralized	system, so we have suggested		
computing.	that our students use resources		
	provided by Compute Canada		
	and other HPC-specific entities.		
	We will look to enhance local		
	services that provide access to		
	Compute Canada, for example		
	by promoting the annual		
	seminar on these facilities		
	hosted by RHPCS/SHARCNET.		
	We will also conduct a survey of		
	ECE graduate students and		
	faculty to find out their		
	software needs. From the		
	survey results we will determine		
	where software licensing and/or		
	support can be centralized at		
	the department or university		
	level.		
It is not	We agree that this is important.	Chair, Assoc Chair	Fall 2022
recommended to	We plan to take a graduated		
achieve an enhanced	approach to transitioning back		
use of physical	to in-person actives in		
• •	alignment with the Faculty of		
resources by	Engineering Return to Work		
combining on-line	Taskforce' policies currently		
and in-person	being developed. In the short		
graduate student	term, we will need to balance		
activities after the	cohesion among graduate		
pandemic. This might	students with access by student		
lead to a decrease in	unable to return immediately to		
the community spirit	campus. But after pandemic		
of the graduate	restrictions are lifted, we will		
_	promote a full return to in-		
students belonging to	person activities, and will		
the same lab. In-	ensure that physical resources		
person activities	are allocated in ways that will		
should be	only enrich the spirit of our		
encouraged as much	· ·		
as possible after the	graduate student community.		
pandemic.			
pariacinic.	<u> </u>		

#### **Faculty Response**

The reviewers in their assessment of the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering have provided a report that is very similar to a previous IQAP review, denoting a strong program with global recognition of its research, a collaborative and collegial faculty and staff, high satisfaction by the students with the curricula and climate, and excellent focus on experiential learning and skills development. From the recommendations offered in the report, the Faculty can see that the department has identified areas for improvement in their curricula and enhancements to the student experience.

The attention on types of courses, class sizes, course outlines and coordinated course offerings is welcomed and the Faculty will endeavour to assist the department in approving these changes, as required, through the different committees of the university. A student club to arrange social activities is always appreciated since they can be very effective in bringing new and senior students together in a friendly and enjoyable manner, though the Faculty will want to see this club working under the EGS, not set up to be in competition. The approach of the department to the suggestion of giving alternatives to their 3MT-style communications exercise seems appropriately tempered. Due to the benefits of this exercise and appropriateness in preparing students for a skill that will demand lifelong improvement, the concerns of the few students should be considered but formulating alternatives seem undesirable, especially in light of the fact that it could mean an uneven evaluation standard being applied throughout a cohort.

There are some recommendations, however, that the Faculty feels the reviewers exceeded their mandate. The Faculty does not consider there to be any issue with the tuition differential between domestic and international Masters students and does not intend to contribute to this item listed by the department. In regards to staffing, the department is adequately covered and may need to re-organize the roles and responsibilities of its people, but these on-going organizational issues seem beyond the scope of this review since they have not been shown to affect the learning experience. Similarly, the given recommendation, which seems to be against blended learning, is far too prescriptive for the review and does not align with the views of the Faculty. The Faculty seeks to capitalize upon the resources that were developed through the pandemic, not retreat back to the previous norm, so long as the student experience is better for this change.

# **Quality Assurance Committee Recommendation**

McMaster's Quality Assurance Committee (QAC) reviewed the above documentation and the committee recommends that the program should follow the regular course of action with a progress report and subsequent full external cyclical review to be conducted no later than 8 years after the start of the last review.